

UNITED STALLS DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

					5AN
L	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY D	OCKET NO.
	08/989,507 12/12/97	BRIES	J	53691	USASA
. L.		IM22/0727		EXAMINER	
	DOREEN S L GWIN 3M OFFICE OF INTELLECTUAL PROP COUNSEL		AHMAD,N		
	P O BOX 33427	TUAL PROP COUNSEL	ART UNIT	PAPE	R NUMBER
	ST PAUL MN 55133-3427		1772		IJ
		Burney Barrell Brown William	DATE MAILED):	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/989,507

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Bries et al.

Masser Ahmad

Group Art Unit 1772



prosecution as to the merits is closed O.G. 213. me month(s), or thirty days, whichever in the period for response will cause the be obtained under the provisions of is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. t to restriction or election requirement.
O.G. 213. ne_month(s), or thirty days, whichever in the period for response will cause the be obtained under the provisions of is/are pending in the applicationis/are withdrawn from considerationis/are allowedis/are rejectedis/are objected to.
n the period for response will cause the be obtained under the provisions of is/are pending in the application is/are withdrawn from consideration is/are allowed is/are rejected is/are objected to.
is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to.
is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to.
is/are allowedis/are rejectedis/are objected to.
is/are rejected.
is/are objected to.
to restriction or election regulations
aminer. proved □disapproved. \$ 119(a)-(d). cuments have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
.C. § 119(e).

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-45 are have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 2

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-8, 21, 22, 24, 26-28 and 41-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lummann (5,409,189).

Luhmann relates to an adhesive tape comprising a stretchable first backing layers having a non-adhesive manually engageable tab portion at one end of the backing layer. An adhesive surface is provided over at least a portion of a first major surface of the backing layer. A separable connection is provided over at least a portion of its second major surface. The first backing layer and the adhesive surface are stretchable together to effect progressive debonding. The backing also comprises a second adhesive surface over at least a portion of the second major surface and may be bonded to a separable connector. As shown in

Application/Control Number: 08/989507 Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

the drawing, the connector may be an interlocking or intermeshing material. The backing can be polymeric material. The intended use language phrases have not been given patentable weight as said phrases are not considered to be positive limitation.

Further, the elongation at break of the backing layer is about 1110 percent (see Example 1 in col. 3-4).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-10, 12, 15, 16-22, 24, 26-30, 32 and 35-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luhmann.

Luhmann, as discussed above, fails to teach the presence of a second stretchable backing containing adhesive tape. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a second adhesive tape adhered to one first tape to form a stack, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 08/989507

Page 4

Art Unit: 1772

Claims 3, 5, 11, 13, 14, 23, 25, 31, 33 and 34 are free of the prior art uncovered so far.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nasser Ahmad whose telephone number is (703) 308-4424. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 am to 6:00 pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ellis Robinson, can be reached on (703) 308-2364. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3599.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

Ahmad/JW 7/21/99 NASSER AHMAD PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300 1700